# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2222-03

Bill No.: SCS for HB 945

Subject: Authorizes counties or a city not within a county to elect between two different

juror compensation schemes.

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 16, 2001

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
None			_				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
None							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004			
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

L.R. No. 2222-03 Bill No. SCS for HB 945 Page 2 of 3 April 16, 2001

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

In response to a similar proposal, officials from **Greene County** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their county.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would give certain counties the option of increasing their juror per diem to \$50.00 per day for jurors who serve three consecutive days or more.

If 64 counties paid the \$50/day for three days one time in a year, the cost would exceed \$100,000 (\$44 x 36 = \$1,584) (\$100,000  $\div$  \$1,584 = 64). However, because the \$50 per diem is optional, CTS has no way of estimating what the additional costs to the counties might actually be. There would be no additional costs to the state.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This proposal would authorize certain counties to elect between two different juror compensation schemes. The first plan is equivalent to the current jury payment system adopted July 1, 2001. The optional plan allows the counties to pay jurors who serve over three days \$50 per day to be paid from county funds.

## **DESCRIPTION** (continued)

BLG:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 2222-03 Bill No. SCS for HB 945 Page 3 of 3 April 16, 2001

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of State Courts Administrator Greene County

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

April 16, 2001